

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,511	OHKAWA, TIHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Taryl Andersen.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 19 October 2006
Time: 13:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
All pending
Claims discussed:
All pending
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mrs. Andersen informed me that the attorney of record was out, however, she would be able to convey a status on the case. Mrs. Andersen then informed me that she could get a decision on the disposition within a few days. I informed her that this unfortunately would not be possible because the case is abandoned as of today's close of business..